UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,621	03/31/2004	Pierre Busson	361170-1028	5448
	7590 10/16/200 YNNE SEWELL LLP	EXAMINER		
INTELLECTU	AL PROPERTY SECT	PENG, FRED H		
3000 THANKS 1601 ELM ST	GIVING TOWER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX	75201-4761	2426		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/814,621	BUSSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	FRED PENG	2426	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply original controls.	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and amendment (a). They raise new issues that would require further core (b). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c). They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or. (d). They present additional claims without canceling a considered and the	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); w); eer form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ıl and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but		•	
see attached. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Vivek Srivastava/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426			

The Appicant argues on page 16 of Remarks that The Tan reference teaches a monolithic mixed signal device (see, Figure 1 and the "Receiver IC"). This Receiver IC includes an A/D input followed by a digital downconverter and baseband digital processing circuitry. The Examiner's attention, however, is directed to the presence of a separate RF front end in Figure 1 that provides RF downconversion to the first and second IF prior to A/D conversion in the receiver IC. This separate RF front end circuitry is not fabricated on the same substrate as the remainder of the components. The Applicant hence jumped to the confusion that the combination of cited prior arts do not teach or suggest with the exception of the surface acoustic wave filter of the tuning module, all circuits within the tuning module, the analog/digital conversion stage and the digital processing block are disposed within an integrated circuit that is fabricated on a single monolithic substrate as in Claim 1.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's arguments. The Tan reference does teach integration of the RF front-end circuit, the tuner together with A/D converter and digtal processing block on a single monolithic substrate as evidenced in the second paragraph of page 200 (A top level functional block diagram of the QAM receiver including an RF front-end is illustrated in Figurel.1) along with FIG.7 (the single monolithic substrate including RF front-end).